

Reflected Solutions of Backward Doubly Stochastic Differential Equations*

Yufeng SHI [†] Yanling GU
 School of Mathematics
 Shandong University
 Jinan, 250100, P. R. China

Abstract

We study reflected solutions of one-dimensional backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs in short). The “reflected” keeps the solution above a given stochastic process. We get the uniqueness and existence by penalization. For the existence of backward stochastic integral, our proof is different from [KKPPQ] slightly. We also obtain a comparison theorem for reflected BDSDEs. At last we gave a simulation for the reflected solutions of BDSDEs.

Key words. Reflected Backward doubly stochastic differential equations, comparison theorem, backward stochastic integral.

AMS 1991 subject classifications. 60H10, 60H30, 35K85, 90A09.

1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries: the existence and uniqueness to BDSDEs

Notations. The Euclidean norm of a vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^k$ will be denoted by $|x|$, and for a $d \times k$ matrix A , we define $\|A\| = \sqrt{\text{Tr}AA^*}$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ be a probability space, and $T > 0$ be an arbitrarily fixed constant throughout this paper. Let $\{W_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{B_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be two mutually independent standard Brownian Motion processes, with values respectively in R^d and R^l , defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the class of P -null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. For each $t \in [0, T]$, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$$

where for any process $\{\eta_t\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\eta = \sigma\{\eta_r - \eta_s; s \leq r \leq t\} \vee \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{F}_t^\eta = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^\eta$.

Note that the collection $\{\mathcal{F}_t; t \in [0, T]\}$ is neither increasing nor decreasing, so it does not constitute a filtration.

Let us introduce some spaces.

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant 10771122, Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China Grant Y2006A08 and National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, No. 2007CB814900)

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: yfshi@sdu.edu.cn

$\mathbf{L}^2 = \{\xi \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}_T \text{-measurable random variable s.t. } E(|\xi|^2) < \infty\}.$

$\mathbf{M}^n = \{\{\phi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\} \text{ is a jointly measurable (classes of } dP \times dt \text{ a.e. equal) stochastic processes s.t. } E \int_0^T |\phi_t|^n dt < \infty, \text{ and } \phi_t \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ measurable for a.e. } t \in [0, T]\}.$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$)

$\mathbf{S}^2 = \{\{\phi_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\} \text{ is a continuous stochastic processes, s.t. } E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi_t|^2) < \infty, \text{ and } \phi_t \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ measurable for a.e. } t \in [0, T]\}.$

We are given four objects: the first one is a terminal value ξ , s.t.

(i) $\xi \in \mathbf{L}^2$.

The second is two “coefficients” f and g , which are maps

$$f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times R \times R^d \rightarrow R, \quad g : \Omega \times [0, T] \times R \times R^d \rightarrow R^l.$$

be jointly measurable and such that

(ii) $\forall (y, z) \in R \times R^d, f(\cdot, y, z) \in \mathbf{M}^2, g(\cdot, y, z) \in \mathbf{M}^2$.

(iii) there exist two constants $K > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\forall y, y' \in R, z, z' \in R^d$, a.s., a.e.

$$\begin{cases} |f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z')|^2 & \leq C(|y - y'|^2 + |z - z'|^2) \\ |g(t, y, z) - g(t, y', z')|^2 & \leq C|y - y'|^2 + \alpha|z - z'|^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{H1})$$

And the last one is an “obstacle” $\{S_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, which is a continuous progressively measurable real-valued process, S_t is $\mathcal{F}_t$ measurable, satisfying

(iv) $E\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_t^+)\} < \infty$.

We always assume that $S_T \leq \xi$, a.s.

Now, let us introduce our reflected backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDE in short). The solution of our reflected BDSDE is triple (Y, Z, K) of $\mathcal{F}_t$ measurable processes taking valued in $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{R}_+$, respectively, and satisfying

(v) $Z \in \mathbf{M}^2$;

(v') $Y \in \mathbf{S}^2$, and $K_T \in \mathbf{L}^2$;

(vi) $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + K_t - K_T + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, 0 \leq t \leq T$;

(vii) $Y_t \geq S_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$;

(viii) $\{K_t\}$ is continuous and increasing, $K_0 = 0$ and $\int_0^T (Y_t - S_t) dK_t = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. Under the above conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), the following backward doubly stochastic differential equation (BDSDE in short) (1)

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (1)$$

has a unique solution $(Y, Z) \in \mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{M}^2$.

This lemma was derived from Pardoux and Peng [PP3].

Lemma 2.2. let (ξ, f, g) and (ξ', f', g) be two parameters of BDSDEs, each one satisfies all the assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) [with the exception that the Lipschitz condition (iii) could be satisfied by either f or f' only], and suppose in addition the following

$$\xi \leq \xi', \text{ a.s.}, \quad f(t, y, z) \leq f'(t, y, z), \text{ a.s.a.e. } \forall (y, z) \in R \times R^d.$$

Let (Y, Z) be a solution of the BDSDE with parameter (ξ, f, g) and (Y', Z') a solution of the BDSDE with parameter (ξ', f', g) . Then

$$Y_t \leq Y'_t, \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T$$

This lemma was derived from Y. Gu and Y. Shi [GS].

3 A priori estimate.

In the following, c will denote a constant whose value can vary from line to line.

Proposition 3.1. let (Y, Z, K) be a solution of the following reflected BDSDE(2)

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s)dB_s + K_t - K_t - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (2)$$

Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} Y_t^2 + \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt + K_T^2\right) \\ & \leq CE(\xi^2 + \int_0^T f(t, 0, 0)^2 dt + \int_0^T g(t, 0, 0)^2 dt + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_t^+)^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Proof. Applying Itô's to the process Y_t and the function $y \rightarrow y^2$ yields,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^2 + \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds &= \xi^2 + 2 \int_t^T Y_s f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_t^T g^2(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_t^T S_s dK_s + 2 \int_t^T Y_s g(s, Y_s, Z_s)dB_s - 2 \int_t^T Y_s Z_s dW_s \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity $\int_0^T (Y_t - S_t)dK_t = 0$.

Using the Lipschitz property of f and g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} EY_t^2 + E \int_t^T |Z_t|^2 dt &= E\xi^2 + 2E \int_t^T |Y_s| \cdot [K(|Y_s| + |Z_s|) + f(s, 0, 0)]ds + 2E \int_t^T S_s dK_s \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T c(\alpha')(|Y_s|^2 + |g(s, 0, 0)|^2)ds + \alpha'E \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds \\ &\leq E\xi^2 + (2K + 1 + K^2 \frac{2}{1 - \alpha'} + c(\alpha'))E \int_t^T |Y_s|^2 ds + E \int_t^T f^2(s, 0, 0)ds \\ &\quad + c(\alpha')E \int_t^T g^2(s, 0, 0)ds + 2 \int_t^T S_s dK_s + \frac{1 + \alpha'}{2}E \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (iii), for any $\alpha < \alpha' < 1$, $\exists c(\alpha')$, such that

$$|g(t, y, z)|^2 \leq c(\alpha')(|y|^2 + |g(t, 0, 0)|^2) + \alpha'|z|^2, \quad a.s. \quad \forall (y, z) \in R \times R^d \quad (4)$$

from Gronwall's lemma applied to Y , we get

$$EY_t^2 \leq cE[\xi^2 + \int_t^T f(s, 0, 0)^2 ds + \int_t^T |g(s, 0, 0)|^2 ds + 2 \int_t^T S_s dK_s] \quad (5)$$

It follows that

$$E \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \leq cE[\xi^2 + \int_0^T f(s, 0, 0)^2 ds + \int_0^T |g(s, 0, 0)|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^T S_s dK_s] \quad (6)$$

We now give an estimate of $E[K_T^2]$, from Eq(2)

$$K_T = Y_0 - \xi - \int_0^T f(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - \int_0^T g(t, Y_t, Z_t)dB_t + \int_0^T Z_t dW_t$$

and the estimates (5) and (6), we show the following inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
E[K_T^2] &\leq cE[\xi^2 + \int_0^T f^2(s, 0, 0)ds + \int_0^T g^2(s, 0, 0)ds + 2 \int_0^T S_s dK_s] \\
&\leq cE[\xi^2 + \int_0^T f^2(s, 0, 0)ds + \int_0^T g^2(s, 0, 0)ds] + 2c^2 E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_t^+)^2) + \frac{1}{2} E(K_T^2) \\
&\leq cE[\xi^2 + \int_0^T f^2(s, 0, 0)ds + \int_0^T g^2(s, 0, 0)ds] + E(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} (S_s^+)^2)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows easily that for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$E(Y_t^2 + \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt + K_T^2) \leq CE[\xi^2 + \int_0^T f^2(s, 0, 0)ds + \int_0^T g^2(s, 0, 0)ds + (\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} (S_s^+)^2)]$$

The result then follows easily from Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. Let (ξ, f, g, S) and (ξ', f', g, S') be two parameters satisfying the assumptions (i)-(iv). Suppose (Y, Z, K) is a solution of the reflected BDSDE (ξ, f, g, S) and (Y', Z', K') is a solution of the reflected BDSDE (ξ', f', g, S') . Define

$$\Delta\xi = \xi' - \xi, \quad \Delta f = f - f', \quad \Delta S = S - S', \quad \Delta Y = Y - Y', \quad \Delta Z = Z - Z', \quad \Delta K = K - K'$$

Then there exists a constant C such that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\Delta Y_t|^2 + \int_0^T |\Delta Z_t|^2 dt + |\Delta K_T|^2) \\
&\leq CE[|\Delta\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |\Delta f(t, Y_t, Z_t)|^2 dt + cE[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\Delta S_t^+)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_T^{\frac{1}{2}}]
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\Psi_T = E[\xi^2 + \int_0^T f^2(t, 0, 0)dt + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_T^+)^2 + \xi'^2 + \int_0^T f'^2(t, 0, 0)dt + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_T'^+)^2]$$

Proof. The computation are similar to those in the previous proof, so we'll only sketch the argument. Since $\int_t^T (\Delta Y_s - \Delta S_s) d(\Delta K_s) \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E|\Delta Y_t|^2 + E \int_t^T |\Delta Z_s|^2 ds &\leq E|\Delta\xi|^2 + 2E \int_t^T \Delta f(s, Y_s, Z_s) \Delta Y_s ds \\
&\quad + 2E \int_t^T (f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)) \Delta Y_s ds \\
&\quad + E \int_t^T (g(s, Y_s, Z_s) - f(g, Y'_s, Z'_s))^2 ds + 2E \int_t^T \Delta S_s d(\Delta K_s)
\end{aligned}$$

Arguments already used in the previous proof lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
E|\Delta Y_t|^2 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} E \int_t^T |\Delta Z_s|^2 ds &\leq c[E|\Delta\xi|^2 + \int_t^T |\Delta f(s, Y_s, Z_s)|^2 ds + \int_t^T |\Delta Y_s|^2 ds \\
&\quad + (\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\Delta S_t|)(K_T + K'_T)]
\end{aligned}$$

Using Gronwall's lemma, Proposition 3.1 and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we obtain inequality (7). $\square$

From the Proposition 3.2, We deduce immediately the following uniqueness result when $\xi = \xi'$, $f' = f$, $S = S'$.

Theorem 3.3. Under the assumption (i)-(iv), there exists at most one measurable triple (Y, Z, K) , which satisfies (v)-(viii).

4 Existence of a solution of reflected BDSDE: approximation via penalization.

In this section, we'll give the result of existence via penalization which is slightly different from [KKPPQ].

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let (Y^n, Z^n) denote the unique pair of $\mathcal{F}_t$ measurable processes with valued in $R \times R^d$, satisfying

$$E \int_0^T |Z_t^n|^2 dt < \infty$$

and

$$Y_t^n = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + n \int_t^T (Y_s^n - S_s)^- ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s \quad (8)$$

where ξ , f and g satisfy the assumptions stated in Section 2. We define

$$K_t^n = n \int_0^t (Y_s^n - S_s)^- ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

From [PP3], we get

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n|^2 \right) < \infty.$$

We now establish a priori estimate, uniformly in n , on the sequence (Y^n, Z^n, K^n) .

$$\begin{aligned} & E|Y_t^n|^2 + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds \\ &= E|\xi|^2 + 2E \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) Y_s^n ds + E \int_t^T g^2(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + 2E \int_t^T Y_s^n dK_s^n \end{aligned}$$

from (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E|Y_t^n|^2 + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds &\leq E|\xi|^2 + 2E \int_t^T (f(s, 0, 0) + K|Y_s^n| + |Z_s^n|)|Y_s^n| ds \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T [c(\alpha')(|Y_s^n|^2 + g^2(s, 0, 0)) + \alpha'|Z_s^n|^2] ds + 2E \int_t^T S_s dK_s^n \\ &\leq E|\xi|^2 + E \int_0^T f^2(s, 0, 0) ds + E \int_0^T g^2(s, 0, 0) ds \\ &\quad + (2K + c(\alpha') + K^2\beta)E \int_t^T |Y_s^n|^2 ds + (\alpha' + \frac{1}{\beta})E \int_t^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \beta E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_t^+)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{\beta} E[(K_T^n - K_t^n)^2] \end{aligned}$$

where β is positive. But

$$K_T^n - K_t^n = Y_t^n - \xi - \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s + \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s,$$

hence

$$E[(K_T^n - K_t^n)^2] \leq c[E(|Y_t^n|^2) + E|\xi|^2 + 1 + E \int_t^T (|Y_s^n|^2 + |Z_s^n|^2) ds]$$

choosing β enough large, such that $\alpha' + \frac{1+c}{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha} < 1$, then

$$E(|Y_t^n|^2) + (1 - \bar{\alpha})E \int_t^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds \leq c(1 + E \int_t^T |Y_s^n|^2 ds)$$

it then follows from Gronwall's lemma that

$$E(|Y_t^n|^2) + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds + E[(K_T^n)^2] \leq c, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}$$

furthermore, from Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we deduce that

$$E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n|^2\right) + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds + E[(K_T^n)^2] \leq c, \quad n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (9)$$

note that if we define

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(t, y, z) &= f(t, y, z) + n(y - S_t)^-, \\ f_n(t, y, z) &\leq f_{n+1}(t, y, z), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from lemma 2.2 that $Y_t^n \leq Y_t^{n+1}$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a.e. Hence

$$Y_t^n \uparrow Y_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad a.e.$$

and from (9) and Fatou's lemma,

$$E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} Y_t^2\right) \leq c.$$

It then follows by dominated convergence that

$$E \int_0^T (Y_t - Y_t^n)^2 dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (10)$$

Next, we'll prove $Z_t^n \rightarrow Z_t$ in $\mathbf{M}^2$.

Applying Itô's formula to $(Y^n - Y^p)$ and the function $y \rightarrow y^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|Y_t^n - Y_t^p|^2) + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds \\ = & 2E \int_t^T [f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)](Y_s^n - Y_s^p) ds \\ & + E \int_t^T |g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)|^2 ds + 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p) d(K_s^n - K_s^p) \\ \leq & 2KE \int_t^T (|Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 + |Y_s^n - Y_s^p| \cdot |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|) ds + KE \int_t^T |Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 ds \\ & + \alpha E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds + 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - S_s)^- dK_s^p + 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^p - S_s)^- dK_s^n \end{aligned}$$

from $2ab \leq \frac{2}{1-\alpha}a^2 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}b^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(|Y_t^n - Y_t^p|^2) + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds \\
&= (3K + K^2 \frac{2}{1-\alpha}) E \int_t^T |Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 ds + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds \\
&+ 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - S_s)^- dK_s^p + 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^p - S_s)^- dK_s^n \\
\frac{1-\alpha}{2} E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds &\leq cE \int_t^T |Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 ds + (E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(Y_t^n - S_t)^-|^2) \cdot E(K_T^p)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ (E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(Y_t^p - S_t)^-|^2) \cdot E(K_T^n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds &\leq c[E \int_t^T |Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 ds + (E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(Y_t^n - S_t)^-|^2) \cdot E(K_T^p)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ (E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(Y_t^p - S_t)^-|^2) \cdot E(K_T^n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

now, we give the proof that

$$E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(Y_t^n - S_t)^-|^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{12}$$

Since $Y_t^n \geq Y_t^0$, we can w.l.o.g. replace S_t by $S_t \vee Y_t^0$; so assume that $E(\sup_{t \leq T} S_t^2) < \infty$. We first want to compare a.s. Y_t and S_t for all $t \in [0, T]$, while we do not know yet that Y is a.s. continuous. From the comparison theorem for BDSDE's, we have that a.s. $Y_t^n \geq \tilde{Y}_t^n$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\{\tilde{Y}_t^n, \tilde{Z}_t^n; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is the unique solution of the BDSDE:

$$\tilde{Y}_t^n = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + n \int_t^T (S_t - \tilde{Y}_s^n) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_s^n dW_s$$

Let ν be a stopping time such that $0 \leq \nu \leq T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Y}_t^n &= E^{\mathcal{F}_\nu} [e^{-n(T-\nu)} \xi + \int_\nu^T e^{-n(s-\nu)} f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + n \int_\nu^T e^{-n(s-\nu)} S_s ds] \\
&+ \int_\nu^T e^{-n(s-\nu)} g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s
\end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$e^{-n(T-\nu)} \xi + n \int_\nu^T e^{-n(s-\nu)} S_s ds \rightarrow \xi \mathbf{1}_{\nu=T} + S_\nu \mathbf{1}_{\nu < T}$$

a.s. and in $\mathbf{L}^2$, and the conditional expectation converges also in $\mathbf{L}^2$. Moreover,

$$|\int_\nu^T e^{-n(s-\nu)} f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} (\int_0^T f^2(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

hence $E^{\mathcal{F}_\nu} \int_\nu^T e^{-n(s-\nu)} f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathbf{L}^2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

and

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\int_\nu^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s\right)^2 &\leq cE \int_0^T e^{-2n(s-\nu)} g^2(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds \\ &\leq \frac{c}{4n} E \int_0^T g^4(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\tilde{Y}_s^n \rightarrow \xi \mathbf{1}_{\nu=T} + S_\nu \mathbf{1}_{\nu < T}$ in mean square, and $Y_{\nu \geq S_\nu}$ a.s. From this and the section theorem in Dellacherie and Meyer [DM], it follows that a.s.

$$Y_t^n \geq S_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

Hence $(Y_t^n - S_t)^- \searrow 0$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a.s., and from Dini's theorem the convergence is uniform in t . The result finally follows by dominated convergence, since $(Y_t^n - S_t)^- \leq (S_t - Y_t^0)^+ \leq |S_t| + |Y_t^0|$. From above property, (11) and (10), hence

$$E \int_0^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds \rightarrow 0, \quad E \int_0^T |Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 ds \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty$$

Now, we want to prove the process Y is continuous. Similar to above proof,

$$\begin{aligned} &|Y_t^n - Y_t^p|^2 + \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds \\ = &2 \int_t^T [f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)](Y_s^n - Y_s^p) ds + \int_t^T |g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)|^2 ds \\ &+ 2 \int_t^T [g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)](Y_s^n - Y_s^p) dB_s - 2 \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(Z_s^n - Z_s^p) dW_s \\ &+ 2 \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p) d(K_s^n - K_s^p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t^p|^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^T |f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)| \cdot |Y_s^n - Y_s^p| ds + 2 \int_0^T (Y_s^p - S_s)^- dK_s^n \\ &\quad + \int_0^T |g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^T (Y_s^n - S_s)^- dK_s^p \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p) (Y_s^n - Y_s^p) dB_s \right| \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(Z_s^n - Z_s^p) dW_s \right| \end{aligned}$$

and from Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and $2ab \leq \beta a^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} b^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t^p|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t^p|^2 + cE \int_0^T (|Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 + |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2) ds \\ &\quad + (E[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(Y_t^n - S_t)^-|^2] \cdot E|K_T^n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + (E[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(Y_t^p - S_t)^-|^2] \cdot E|K_T^p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

hence, $E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^n - Y_t^p|^2) \rightarrow 0$, as $n, p \rightarrow \infty$.

from which we get Y^n convergence uniformly in t to Y , a.s. and Y is a continuous process.

Denote $K_t^n = n \int_0^t (Y_s^n - S_s)^- ds$, since $K^n \nearrow$ as $n \nearrow$, and from $E((K_T^n)^2) \leq C$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ we have $K_T^n \nearrow K_T$ and $E(K_T)^2 \leq C$, that is $K_T < \infty$, a.s.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |K_t^n - K_t^p| &\leq |Y_t^n - Y_t^p| + |Y_0^n - Y_0^p| + \left| \int_0^t (f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)) ds \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^t (g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)) dB_s \right| + \left| \int_0^t (Z_s^n - Z_s^p) dW_s \right| \\ E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |K_t^n - K_t^p|^2\right) &\leq c\{E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_s^n - Y_s^p|^2 + E|Y_0^n - Y_0^p|^2 \\ &\quad + E \int_0^T (f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p))^2 ds \\ &\quad + E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p) dB_s \right|\right) \\ &\quad + E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (Z_s^n - Z_s^p) dW_s \right|\right)\} \end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that f and g are Lipschitz functions, and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality for the last terms, he obtain

$$E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |K_t^n - K_t^p|^2\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty$$

consequently, there exists a pair (Z, K) of measurable processes which valued in $R^d \times R$, satisfying

$$E\left(\int_0^T (Z_t^n - Z_t^p)^2 dt + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |K_t - K_t^n|^2\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and (v), (vi) satisfied by the triple (Y, Z, K) (obtained by taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$), (vii) from (12). It remains to check that $\int_0^T (Y_t - S_t) dK_t = 0$.

Clearly, $\{K_t\}$ is increasing. Moreover, we have just seen that (Y^n, K^n) tends to (Y, K) uniformly in t in probability. Then the measure dK^n tends to dK weakly in probability,

$$\int_0^T (Y_t^n - S_t) dK_t^n \rightarrow \int_0^T (Y_t - S_t) dK_t,$$

in probability, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We deduce from the same argument and (12) that

$$\int_0^T (Y_t - S_t) dK_t \geq 0.$$

on the other hand,

$$\int_0^T (Y_t^n - S_t) dK_t^n \leq 0, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}$$

hence,

$$\int_0^T (Y_t - S_t) dK_t = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and we have proved that (Y, Z, K) solves the reflected BDSDE(2). $\square$

5 Comparison Theorem for reflected BDSDE.

We next give a comparison theorem, similar to that of [KKPPQ] and [HLM] for reflected BSDEs.

Theorem 5.1. Let (ξ, f, g, S) and (ξ', f', g, S') be two sets of data, each one satisfying all the assumptions of (i)-(iv) [with the exception that the Lipschitz condition (H1) could be satisfied by either f or f' only]. And suppose in addition the following:

- (1) $\xi \leq \xi'$, a.s.,
- (2) $f(t, y, z) \leq f'(t, y, z)$, $dP \otimes dt$, a.e. $\forall (y, z) \in R \times R^d$,
- (3) $S_t \leq S'_t$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a.s.

Let (Y, Z, K) be a solution of the reflected BDSDE with data (ξ, f, g, S) and (Y', Z', K') a solution of the reflected BDSDE with data (ξ', f', g, S') . Then

$$Y_t \leq Y'_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad a.s.$$

If f and f' all satisfy Lipschitz condition (iii), and $S = S'$, then we also have $dK \geq dK'$, P -a.s.

Proof. Applying Itô's formula to $|(Y_t - Y'_t)^+|^2$, and taking expectation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E|(Y_t - Y'_t)^+|^2 + E \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s > Y'_s\}} |Z_s - Z'_s|^2 ds &\leq 2E \int_t^T (Y_s - Y'_s)^+ [f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - f'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)] ds \\ &+ E \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s > Y'_s\}} [g(s, Y_s, Z_s) - g(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)]^2 ds + 2E \int_t^T (Y_s - Y'_s)^+ (dK_s - dK'_s) \end{aligned}$$

since on $\{Y_t > Y'_t\}$, $Y_t > S'_t > S_t$, then $dK_t = 0$, so we have

$$\int_t^T (Y_s - Y'_s)^+ (dK_s - dK'_s) = - \int_t^T (Y_s - Y'_s)^+ dK'_s \leq 0$$

Assume now that the Lipschitz condition in the statement applied to f , then

$$\begin{aligned} &E|(Y_t - Y'_t)^+|^2 + E \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s > Y'_s\}} |Z_s - Z'_s|^2 ds \\ &\leq 2E \int_t^T (Y_s - Y'_s)^+ [f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - f'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)] ds \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s > Y'_s\}} [g(s, Y_s, Z_s) - g(s, Y'_s, Z'_s)]^2 ds \\ &\leq 2K \int_t^T (Y_s - Y'_s)^+ [|Y_s - Y'_s| + |Z_s - Z'_s|] ds + E \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s > Y'_s\}} [K|Y_s - Y'_s|^2 + |Z_s - Z'_s|^2] ds \\ &\leq (3K + K^2 \frac{2}{1-\alpha}) E \int_t^T |(Y_s - Y'_s)^+|^2 ds + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s > Y'_s\}} |Z_s - Z'_s|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$E|(Y_t - Y'_t)^+|^2 \leq \bar{K} E \int_t^T |(Y_s - Y'_s)^+|^2 ds,$$

and from Gronwall's lemma, $(Y_t - Y'_t)^+ = 0$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a.s.

If f and f' are all Lipschitz functions and $S = S'$, we consider the following two BDSDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^n &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + n \int_t^T (Y_s^n - S_s)^- ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s, \\ Y_t^m &= \xi' + \int_t^T f'(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m) ds + n \int_t^T (Y_s^m - S_s)^- ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s^m dW_s, \end{aligned}$$

from the comparison theorem of BDSDE [GS], we get $\forall n \geq 0$, $P\text{-a.s. } Y^n \leq Y'^n$. On the other hand, from the proof of existence in section 4, we know that, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, $P\text{-a.s.}$,

- (i) $Y_t^n \rightarrow Y_t$ (resp. $Y_t'^n \rightarrow Y_t'$), as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
 - (ii) $K_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_0^t (Y_s^n - S_s)^- ds$, and $K_t' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_0^t (Y_s'^n - S_s)^- ds$.
- for $Y^n \leq Y'^n$, it follows that, $\forall s, r \in [0, T]$, $K_s - K_r \geq K_s' - K_r'$ and $dK \geq dK'$, $P\text{-a.s.}$ $\square$

6 Other results

Lemma 6.1. let (Y, Z, K) be a solution of the above reflected BDSDE, satisfying condition (vi) to (viii). Then for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$K_T - K_t = \sup_{t \leq u \leq T} (\xi + \int_u^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_u^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s - \int_u^T Z_s dW_s - S_u)^-$$

Proof. The proof is similar to [KKPPQ]. Where $((Y_{T-t}(\omega) - S_{T-t}(\omega)), (K_T(\omega) - K_{T-t}(\omega)), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is the solution of a Skorohod problem. Applying the Skorohod lemma with

$$x_t = (\xi + \int_{T-t}^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_{T-t}^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s - \int_{T-t}^T Z_s dW_s - S_{T-t})(\omega),$$

$$k_t = (K_t - K_{T-t})(\omega), y_t = (Y_{T-t} - S_{T-t})(\omega). \quad \square$$

lemma 6.2. Let $(Y, Z, K)'$ be a solution of the above reflected BDSDE (2), satisfying (v)-(viii). Then for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$Y_t = \text{ess sup}_{\nu \in \Gamma_t} \{E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\int_t^\nu f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + S_\nu \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu < T\}} + \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu = T\}} + \int_t^\nu g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s]\} \quad (13)$$

where Γ is the set of all stopping times dominated by T , and $\Gamma_t = \{\nu \in \Gamma; t \leq \nu \leq T\}$.

Proof. Let $\nu \in \Gamma_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^\nu f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + K_\nu - K_t + \int_t^\nu g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s - \int_t^\nu Z_s dW_s \\ &= E[\xi + \int_t^\nu f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + K_\nu - K_t | \mathcal{F}_t] + \int_t^\nu g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s \\ &\geq E[\int_t^\nu f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + S_\nu \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu < T\}} + \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu = T\}} | \mathcal{F}_t] + \int_t^\nu g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s \end{aligned}$$

Now er choose an optimal element of Γ_t in order to get the reversed inequality. Let

$$D_t = \inf\{t \leq u \leq T; Y_u = S_u\} \wedge T$$

Now the condition $\int_0^T (Y_t - S_t) dK_t = 0$ and the continuity of K imply that

$$K_{D_t} - K_t = 0,$$

it follows that

$$Y_t = E[\int_t^{D_t} f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + S_{D_t} \mathbf{1}_{\{D_t < T\}} + \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{D_t = T\}} | \mathcal{F}_t] + \int_t^{D_t} g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s$$

from above result, we get (13). $\square$

7 Two reflected BDSDE

Assume

(i) $\xi \in \mathbf{L}^2$;

let the mappings $f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times R \times R^d$, $g : [0, T] \times \Omega \times R \times R^d$ be jointly measurable and such that

(ii) $\forall (y, z) \in R \times R^d$, $f(\cdot, y, z) \in \mathbf{M}^2$, $g(\cdot, y, z) \in \mathbf{M}^4$;

(iii) there exist two constants $K > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\forall (y, z), (y', z') \in R \times R^d$,

$$\begin{cases} |f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z')|^2 & \leq C(|y - y'|^2 + |z - z'|^2) \\ |g(t, y, z) - g(t, y', z')|^2 & \leq C|y - y'|^2 + \alpha|z - z'|^2 \end{cases} \quad (\text{H1})$$

and two obstacles $\{L_t\}$ and $\{U_t\}$, such that

(iv) $E(\sup_{t \leq T} (L_t^+)^2) \leq \infty$, $E(\sup_{t \leq T} (U_t^-)^2) < \infty$, and $L_t \leq \xi \leq U_t$, P -a.s., $L_t < U_t$ for all $0 \leq t < T$, P -a.s.

A solution of two reflected BDSDE is a measurable processes (Y, Z, K^+, K^-) , valued in $R \times R^d \times R_+ \times R_+$, such that for $0 \leq t \leq T$

(v) $Z \in \mathbf{M}^2$;

(v') $Y \in \mathbf{S}^2$, $K^+, K^- \in \mathbf{L}^2$;

(vi) $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + (K_T^+ - K_t^+) - (K_T^- - K_t^-) + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s)dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s$

(vii) $L_t \leq Y_t \leq U_t$, P -a.s., for all $0 \leq t \leq T$;

(viii) $\{K_t^+\}$, $\{K_t^-\}$ are continuous and increasing, $K_0^+ = K_0^- = 0$, and

$$\int_0^T (Y_t - L_t) dK_t^+ = \int_0^T (U_t - Y_t) dK_t^- = 0, \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

We also need the following additional assumption (H2):

there exists a process

$$X_t = X_0 - \int_0^t J_s dW - s - V_t^+ + V_t^-, \quad X_T = \xi$$

with $J \in \mathbf{M}^2$, V^+ , V^- are continuous and increasing, s.t.

$$L_t \leq X_t \leq U_t, \quad P\text{-a.s.} \forall t \in [0, T], \quad L < U, \quad P\text{-a.s.} \forall t \in [0, T]$$

We now divide several steps to prove the existence and uniqueness of two reflected BDSDE.

Consider the following BDSDE, for any $n, m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{n,m} &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m})ds + m \int_t^T (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds - n \int_t^T (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m})dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s^{n,m} dW_s \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

since $f(s, y, z) + m(L_t - y)^+ - n(y - U_t)^+$ is Lipschitz in (y, z) uniformly in (t, ω) , Eq(14) has a unique solution, denoted $(Y^{n,m}, Z^{n,m})$. Then we have the follow priori estimates.

lemma 7.1. There exists a constant C independent of n, m , s.t.

$$\sup_{t \leq T} E(Y_t^{n,m})^2 + E\left(\int_0^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds\right) + m^2 E\left(\int_0^T (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds\right)^2 + n^2 E\left(\int_0^T (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds\right)^2 \leq C$$

proof. (1) Applying Itô's formula to $Y^{n,m}$ and $y \rightarrow y^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_t^{n,m})^2 + E\left(\int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds\right) &= E(\xi)^2 + 2E \int_t^T Y_s^{n,m} f(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T g^2(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) ds + 2mE \int_t^T Y_s^{n,m} (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds \\ &\quad - 2nE \int_t^T Y_s^{n,m} (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds \end{aligned}$$

from $2ab \leq \beta a^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} b^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_t^{n,m})^2 + E\left(\int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds\right) &= E(\xi)^2 + c(\alpha')E \int_t^T g^2(s, 0, 0) ds + E \int_t^T f^2(s, 0, 0) ds \\ &\quad + (2K + c(\alpha') + K^2 \frac{2}{1-\alpha'})E \int_t^T |Y_s^{n,m}|^2 ds + \frac{1+\alpha'}{2}E \int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \beta E(\sup_{s \leq T} (L_s^+)^2) + \frac{1}{\beta} m^2 E\left(\int_t^T (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \beta E(\sup_{s \leq T} (U_s^-)^2) + \frac{1}{\beta} n^2 E\left(\int_t^T (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds\right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

we use the fact that

$$(Y_s^{n,m} - L_s)(L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ \leq 0, \quad (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)(Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ \geq 0$$

(2) We now prove there exists a constant $\bar{c}$ independent of n, m , such that, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} &m^2 E\left(\int_0^T (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds\right)^2 + n^2 E\left(\int_0^T (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds\right)^2 \\ &\leq \bar{c}(1 + E \int_t^T |Y_s^{n,m}|^2 ds + E \int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$, define

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \inf(t \leq r \leq T, Y_r^{n,m} = U_r) \wedge T; \\ S_1 &= \inf(T_1 < r \leq T, Y_r^{n,m} = L_r) \wedge T; \\ T_2 &= \inf(S_1 \leq r \leq T, Y_r^{n,m} = U_r) \wedge T; \quad \dots \text{and so on.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $T_k \nearrow T$, $S_k \nearrow T$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $L < U$ on $[0, T)$, we have $Y^{n,m} \geq L$ between T_k and S_k , so

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{T_k}^{n,m} &= Y_{S_k}^{n,m} + \int_{T_k}^{S_k} f_n(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) ds - n \int_{T_k}^{S_k} (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds \\ &\quad + \int_{S_k}^{T_k} g(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) dB_s - \int_{T_k}^{S_k} Z_s^{n,m} dW_s \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand,

$$U_{T_k} = Y_{T_k}^{n,m} \geq X_{T_k} \quad \text{if } T_k < T, \quad Y_{T_k}^{n,m} = X_{T_k} = \xi \quad \text{if } T_k = T$$

$$Y_{S_k}^{n,m} = L_{S_k} \leq X_{S_k} \quad \text{if } S_k < T, \quad Y_{S_k}^{n,m} = X_{S_k} = \xi \quad \text{if } S_k = T$$

from above property, we get for all k ,

$$\begin{aligned} n \int_{T_k}^{S_k} (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds &\leq X_{S_k} - X_{T_k} + \int_{T_k}^{S_k} f(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{T_k}^{S_k} f(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) dB_s - \int_{T_k}^{S_k} Z_s^{n,m} dW_s \\ &\leq \int_{T_k}^{S_k} |f(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m})| ds + V_{S_k}^+ - V_{T_k}^+ + V_{S_k}^- - V_{T_k}^- \\ &\quad + \int_{S_k}^{T_k} g(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) dB_s - \int_{T_k}^{S_k} (J_s + Z_s^{n,m}) dW_s \end{aligned}$$

since between S_k and T_{k+1} , $Y_s^{n,m} \leq U_s$, summing up in k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n \int_T^t (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds &\leq \int_t^T |f(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m})| ds + V_T^+ - V_t^+ + V_T^- - V_t^- \\ &\quad + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^{n,m}, Z_s^{n,m}) \left(\sum_k \mathbf{1}_{[T_k, S_k)}(s) \right) dB_s \\ &\quad - \int_t^T (J_s + Z_s^{n,m}) \left(\sum_k \mathbf{1}_{[T_k, S_k)}(s) \right) dW_s \end{aligned}$$

Taking square and expectation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &n^2 E \left(\int_T^t (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds \right)^2 + E \left(\int_t^T (J_s + Z_s^{n,m}) \left(\sum_k \mathbf{1}_{[T_k, S_k)}(s) \right) ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{c} (1 + E \int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds + E \int_t^T |Y_s^{n,m}|^2 ds) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$m^2 E \left(\int_T^t (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{c} (1 + E \int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds + E \int_t^T |Y_s^{n,m}|^2 ds) \quad (18)$$

from (15) and (16), choosing $\beta = \frac{2\bar{c}}{\alpha'}$, we get

$$E(Y_t^{n,m})^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha'}{2} E \left(\int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds \right) \leq \bar{K} (1 + E \int_t^T (Y_s^{n,m})^2 ds)$$

then from Gronwall's lemma,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} E(Y_t^{n,m})^2 \leq c, \quad E \int_t^T |Z_s^{n,m}|^2 ds \leq c$$

so

$$m^2 E \left(\int_t^T (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds \right)^2 \leq c, \quad n^2 E \left(\int_t^T (Y_s^{n,m} - U_s)^+ ds \right)^2 \leq c \quad \square$$

we now introduce the follow one reflected BDSDE (ξ, f, g, L) for all integer.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^n &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + K_T^{n,+} - K_t^{n,+} - n \int_t^T (Y_s^n - U_s)^+ ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

from Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality,

$$E\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (Y_t^{n,m})^2\right) \leq c$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$, $Y^{n,m} \nearrow Y^n$, $m \int_0^T (L_s - Y_s^{n,m})^+ ds \nearrow K_T^{n,+}$, $Z^{n,m} \rightarrow Z^n$ in M^2 . [KKPPQ] where $(Y^n, Z^n, K^{n,+})$ is the unique solution of Eq (ξ, f, g, L) . Then

Lemma 7.2.

$$E(\sup_{t \leq T} (Y_t^n)^2) + E \int_0^T |Z_s^n|^2 ds + E(K_T^{n,+})^2 + n^2 E \int_0^T (Y_s^n - U_s)^+ ds \leq c \quad (20)$$

where the constant c is independent of n .

For Eq (ξ, f, g, L) , we know $Y^n \geq L$, a.s. and from the comparison theorem [GS] that $Y^n \searrow$, we conclude that there exists a process Y such that $Y^n \searrow Y$, and from Fatou's Lemma,

$$E(\sup_{t \leq T} Y_t^2) \leq c$$

then follows by the dominated convergence theorem that,

$$E\left(\int_0^T (Y_t - Y_t^n)^2 dt\right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Now if $n \geq p$, $Y^n \leq Y^p$, $dK^{n,+} \geq dK^{p,+}$ by the comparison theorem [GS]. Then we want to prove that $Z^n \rightarrow Z$ in M^2 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 7.3. $Z^n \rightarrow Z$ in M^2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

proof. we define $\widetilde{K}_t^T = n \int_0^t (Y_s^n - U_s)^+ ds$, $n > p$.

Applying Itô's formula to $Y^n - Y^p$ and $y \rightarrow y^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_t^n - Y_t^p)^2 + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds &= 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)) ds \\ &\quad + 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(dK_s^{n,+} - dK_s^{p,+}) \\ &\quad - 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(d\widetilde{K}_s^{n,+} - d\widetilde{K}_s^{p,+}) \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T (g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p))^2 ds \\ &\leq 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)) ds \\ &\quad - 2E \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(d\widetilde{K}_s^{n,+} - d\widetilde{K}_s^{p,+}) \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T (g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p))^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

where we have use

$$(Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(d\widetilde{K}_s^{n,+} - d\widetilde{K}_s^{p,+}) = [(Y_s^n - U_s) + (U_s - Y_s^p)](d\widetilde{K}_s^{n,+} - d\widetilde{K}_s^{p,+})$$

and $(Y_s^n - U_s)d\widetilde{K}_s^{n,+} \geq 0$, $(U_s - Y_s^p)d\widetilde{K}_s^{p,+} \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_t^n - Y_t^p)^2 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds &= cE \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2E(\sup_{t \leq T} ((Y_s^n - U_s)^+)^2) \cdot E(p \int_t^T (Y_s^p - U_s)^+ ds)^2 \\ &\quad + 2E(\sup_{t \leq T} ((Y_s^p - U_s)^+)^2) \cdot E(n \int_t^T (Y_s^n - U_s)^+ ds)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove $E(\sup_{t \leq T} ((Y_s^n - U_s)^+)^2) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We consider the following Eq($\xi, f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - n(y - U_s), g, L$),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_t^n &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + \widetilde{K}_T^{n,+} - \widetilde{K}_t^{n,+} - n \int_t^T (\hat{Y}_s^n - U_s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) dB_s - \int_t^T \hat{Z}_s^n dW_s \end{aligned}$$

which has a unique solution, denoted $(\hat{Y}^n, \hat{Z}^n, \widetilde{K}^n)$. From the comparison theorem [GS], $Y_t^n \leq \hat{Y}_t^n$, a.s. for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Then we have the following reflected BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-nt}\hat{Y}_t^n &= e^{-nT}\xi + \int_t^T e^{-ns}f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n)ds + \int_t^T e^{-ns}d\widetilde{K}_t^{n,+} + n \int_t^T e^{-ns}U_s ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T e^{-ns}g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n)dB_s - \int_t^T e^{-ns}\hat{Z}_s^n dW_s \end{aligned}$$

This process $\{e^{-nt}Y_t^n\}$ is the solution of the BDSDE with the obstacle $\{e^{-nt}L_t\}$ with terminal value $e^{-nt}\xi$ and coefficients $e^{-nt}f(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n) + ne^{-nt}U_t$ and $g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)$.

Let ν be a stopping time such that $0 \leq \nu \leq T$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_\nu^n &= \text{ess sup}_{\tau \geq \nu} \{E(\xi e^{-n(\tau-\nu)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau=T\}} + L_\tau e^{-n(\tau-\nu)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + n \int_\nu^\tau e^{-n(s-\nu)} U_s ds) \\ &\quad + \int_\nu^\tau e^{-n(s-\nu)} f(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n) ds | \mathcal{F}_\nu) + \int_\nu^\tau e^{-n(s-\nu)} f(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n) dB_s\} \\ &\leq E(n \int_\nu^\tau e^{-n(s-\nu)} (U_s - X_s) ds | \mathcal{F}_\nu) + E(\int_\nu^\tau e^{-n(s-\nu)} |f(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n)| ds | \mathcal{F}_\nu) \\ &\quad + \text{ess sup}_{\tau \geq \nu} E(n \int_\nu^\tau X_s e^{-n(s-\nu)} ds + e^{-n(\tau-\nu)} X_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + e^{-n(\tau-\nu)} \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau=T\}} | \mathcal{F}_\nu) \\ &\quad + \text{ess sup}_{\tau \geq \nu} \int_\nu^\tau e^{-n(s-\nu)} g(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n) dB_s \end{aligned}$$

where we have use $L_t \leq X_t \leq U_t$, a.s. $t \in [0, T]$.

It is easily seen that

$$n \int_\nu^\tau e^{-n(s-\nu)} (U_s - X_s) ds \rightarrow (U_t - X_t) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < T\}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

a.s. and in $\mathbf{L}^2$, and the conditional expectation convergence also in $\mathbf{L}^2$. and

$$\int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} |f(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n)| ds \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \left(\int_0^T f^2(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

hence,

$$E \left(\int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} |f(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n)| ds | \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathbf{L}^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\text{ess sup}_{\tau \geq \nu} (\int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} g(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n) dB_s)^2) &\leq cE \int_0^T e^{-2n(s-\nu)} g^2(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n) ds \\ &\leq cE(e^{-4n(s-\nu)} ds \cdot \int_0^T g^4(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n))^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{4n} E(\int_0^T g^4(s, Y_s^n, z_s^n))^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbf{L}^2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now consider the second term at the right of the above inequality, since

$$e^{-n(\tau-\nu)} X_{\tau} + n \int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} X_s ds = X_{\nu} \int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} dX_s$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{ess sup}_{\tau \geq \nu} E(n \int_{\nu}^{\tau} X_s e^{-n(s-\nu)} ds + e^{-n(\tau-\nu)} X_{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < T\}} + e^{-n(\tau-\nu)} \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau = T\}} | \mathcal{F}_{\nu}) \\ &= \text{ess sup}_{\tau \geq \nu} E(X_{\nu} + \int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} dX_s | \mathcal{F}_{\nu}) \\ &\leq X_{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu < T\}} + \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu = T\}} + E(\int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} d(V^+ + V^-)_s | \mathcal{F}_{\nu}) \end{aligned}$$

since $E(\int_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{-n(s-\nu)} d(V^+ + V^-)_s | \mathcal{F}_{\nu}) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathbf{L}^2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We obtain finally

$$Y_{\nu} \leq \hat{Y}_{\nu} \leq U_{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu < T\}} + \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu = T\}} \leq U_{\nu}, \quad a.s.$$

From above and the section theorem of Dellacherie and Meyer [DM], it follows that, $Y_t \leq U_t$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a.s.

Hence $(Y_t^n - U_t)^+ \searrow 0$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a.s., and from Dini's theorem the convergence is uniformly in t . Then, the result finally follows by the dominated convergence theorem, since $(Y_t^n - U_t)^+ \leq (Y_t^0 - U_t)^+ \leq |Y_t^0| + |U_t|$. $\square$

Lemma 7.4. The process Y is a continuous process.

Proof. Let $n > p$. Using Itô's formula to $Y^n - Y^p$ and $y \rightarrow y^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_t^n - Y_t^p)^2 + \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds &= 2 \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p) (f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)) ds \\ &\quad - 2 \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p) (d\widetilde{K}_s^{n,+} - d\widetilde{K}_s^{p,+}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_t^T (g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p))^2 ds \\
& + \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)) dB_s \\
& - \int_t^T (Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(Z_s^n - Z_s^p) dW_s
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\sup_{t \leq T} (Y_t^n - Y_t^p)^2) + E \int_t^T |Z_s^n - Z_s^p|^2 ds & \leq E \int_t^T |Y_s^n - Y_s^p| \cdot |f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - f(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)| ds \\
& + \int_t^T |g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)|^2 ds \\
& + 2E(\sup_{t \leq T} (Y_t^n - U_t)^+ \cdot p \int_0^T (Y_s^p - U_s)^+ ds \\
& + 2E(\sup_{t \leq T} (Y_t^p - U_t)^+ \cdot n \int_0^T (Y_s^n - U_s)^+ ds \\
& + E(\sup_{t \leq T} \int_t^T |(Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) - g(s, Y_s^p, Z_s^p)) dB_s|) \\
& + E(\sup_{t \leq T} \int_t^T |(Y_s^n - Y_s^p)(Z_s^n - Z_s^p) dW_s|)
\end{aligned}$$

Using B-D-G inequality and f and g is uniformly Lipschitz in (y, z) , we deduce,

$$E(\sup_{t \leq T} (Y_t^n - Y_t^p)^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty$$

from which we get that Y^n convergence uniformly in t to y , P -a.s. and that Y is continuous process. $\square$

References

- [KKPPQ] N. EL. Karoui & C. Kapoudjian & E. Pardoux & S. Peng & M. C. Quenez. *Reflected Solutions of Backward SDE's, And Related Obstacle Problems for PDE's*. The Annals of Probability, **25**, **2**: 702–737, 1997.
- [PP3] E. Pardoux & S. G. Peng. *Backward Doubly Stochastic Differential Equations and Systems of Quasilinear Parabolic SPDE's*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **98**:209–227, 1994.
- [GS] Y. L. Gu & Y. F. Shi. *Comparison Theorem of Backward Doubly Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*. Reprint, 2002.
- [HLM] S. Hamadene & J. P. Lepelier & A. Matoussi. *Double barrier backward SDEs with continuous coefficient*. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 161-175.